## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_

SAMUEL J. SMOLEN, JR.,

Plaintiff,

DECISION AND ORDER 04-CV-6042 CJS

vs.

LANIER, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_

Siragusa, J. Before the Court is plaintiff's motion (# 80-1) for reconsideration for his written and verbal requests to be immediately removed from Attica Correctional Facility ("Attica") and his motion (# 80-2) to compel the defendants to comply with his demand to produce discovery, filed on June 21, 2007. For the reasons stated below, plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is denied and his motion to compel is referred to United States Magistrate Judge Marian Payson.

In his complaint filed on January 30, 2004, plaintiff claims that Wende

Correctional Facility ("Wende") Superintendent Edward Donnelly ("Donnelly") and First

Deputy Superintendent Epke P. Epke ("Epke") violated his First, Eighth and Fourteenth

Amendment rights by failing to protect him from being assaulted by Correction Officer

Lanier ("Lanier") on February 1, 2001 at Wende. (Compl. at 17, 19.) Specifically, plaintiff

claims that, in the weeks leading up to February 1, 2001, Epke and Donnelly ignored his

complaints that he was afraid Lanier would assault him. With respect to Wende's Inmate

Grievance Program ("IGP") Supervisor Kenneth Kielisek ("Kielisek"), plaintiff alleges that

Kielisek violated his First and Fourteenth Amendment rights by not filing grievances

Case 6:04-cv-06042-EAW-MWP Document 82 Filed 07/27/07 Page 2 of 2

concerning Officer Lanier. (Id. at 20.) Plaintiff is seeking compensatory and punitive

damages. (Id. at 17, 19, 20.)

As referenced in his motion for injunctive relief, plaintiff seeks an order from this

Court directing his removal from Attica to either another state or federal correctional

facility. During a video conference held on June 13, 2007, with plaintiff and defense

counsel present, the Court informed plaintiff that the case before it did not include any

events that happened at Attica and, therefore, the Court was without jurisdiction to enter

the injunctive relief he requested. The Court did, however, state that it would make the

transcript of the video conference, at which plaintiff detailed all of these allegations

against corrections officers at Attica, available to the Commissioner of the New York

State Department of Corrections for his review and consideration.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED, that plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (# 80-1) is denied; and it is

further

ORDERED, that plaintiff's motion to compel (# 80-2) is referred to United States

Magistrate Judge Marian Payson for resolution.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

July 26, 2007

Rochester, New York

ENTER.

/s/ Charles J. Siragusa

CHARLES J. SIRAGUSA

**United States District Court** 

Page 2 of 2